ICT and Business Support Services – Annex No 5 Selection criteria

SUPPORTED ACTIVITY: Creation of new IS/ICT solutions and applications

BASIC DATA

Registration number:	
Applicant:	
Name of project:	
Place for implementation of project (NUTS II):	
Required amount (subsidy):	CZK

Methodology for awarding points

The selection criteria are divided into six basic parts:

- A Exclusion criteria
- B Economic evaluation of applicant
- C Benefits and relevance of project
- D Experience and expert conditions of applicant
- E Readiness of applicant
- F Technical solution of the project and its sustainability

Every part is then subdivided.

If the project submitted by an applicant for a subsidy gains at least one negative value in part A, the project will be excluded from the further evaluation as unacceptable.

Parts B to F carry points - the allocated number of points may vary within the specified range of points according to the evaluation of the external evaluator (EE) or project manager (PM). Each part contains space for a commentary on the points evaluation, which is an integral part of the project evaluation by the EE or PM. The space for commentary can be expanded as needs be.

The sum of points for parts B to F is the total points evaluation of the project. A project may gain a maximum of 100 points.

The minimum number of points needed to fulfil the criteria of the Programme and for approval of the project is **50** points.

Not satisfying the criteria of the Programme constitutes a reason for the non-approval of a request for the provision of a subsidy.

Α	Exclusion criteria – filled in by mediating entity (agency Czechlnvest)	YES/NO	Source of information
1.	The content of the project, its aims and eligible costs are in compliance with the main parameters of the Programme or sub-activity pursuant to article 2.1 a). Outputs of the project are not expressed in the forbidden branches defined in the Programme.		Registration Application Full
	Commentary: The subject of evaluation is the compliance of the submitted project with the basic parameters of the programme, i.e., in particular the meeting of the goal of supported activity – generation of new IS/ICT solutions and applications.		Application Business plan (BP)
2.	The required level of investment into tangible and intangible fixed assets which serve exclusively for the purposes of ascertaining the activities of the project is a minimum of CZK 1 million for small enterprises, CZK 2 million for medium-sized enterprises and CZK 5 million the case of large enterprises.		Registration Application BP
	Commentary: For the purposes of minimum investment, investments into tangible and intangible fixed assets means the acquisition of tangible and intangible fixed assets, rent paid on tangible fixed assets hired in the form of financial leasing and the purchase price during the subsequent purchase of this asset and technical appreciation of tangible and intangible fixed assets owned by the Beneficiary and assets rented, this being with the assumption that the technical appreciation is paid for by the lessee and this lessee is authorised to depreciate it on the basis of a written contract pursuant to Act No 586/1992 Coll., concerning, income tax, as subsequently amended. The definition of minimum required investment pursuant to the aforementioned definition is broader than the requirements imposed on		
3.	eligible costs (selected items of eligible costs can thus be a part thereof). During the implementation of the project, the Beneficiary of a subsidy creates and staffs a minimum number of new professional positions depending on the size of the enterprise, which is five positions for a small enterprise, seven for a medium enterprise, and twelve for a large enterprise (counted according to the number of full-time positions): Commentary: These are professional positions created in direct relation to the project and staffed by employees whose job description corresponds with the subject of solution of the project.		Registration Application BP

4.	The financial health of the applicant expressed in rating value is equal to or better than C+. Evaluates the mediating entity on the basis of the rating result; in the case of evaluation worse than C+ the project is excluded.	Data from accounting records documented
	Commentary: If, on the date of submission of the Registration Application, the applicant has been engaged in business for a period of less than two closed accounting periods, the rating will be processed based on two years' financial data of the entity/entities exercising a combined controlling interest in the Applicant. In the case of foreign entities, the Applicant shall submit a rating for the foreign entity/entities exercising a combined controlling interest in the Applicant; such rating is to be performed by an external rating agency, whereas this rating must be performed on the evaluation scale according to Moody's or Standard & Poor's. In the case that the rating is performed for several enterprises which have a combined controlling interest in the applicant, each of these enterprises must obtain at least the minimum required rating evaluation. If the applicant is not capable of supplying two-year financial statements for itself or its parent company, and thus it is not possible to calculate the rating, its application shall be invalid.	during the submission of the Registration Application
5.	The project has a positive or neutral impact on the environment and the health of people.	Full Application
	Commentary: From the project proposal it must be evident that the project has a positive or at least neutral impact on the environment and the health of people.	ВР
6.	The project respects the fundamentals of equal opportunities.	Full
	Commentary: From the project proposal it must be evident that opportunities are offered to all groups of inhabitants who meet the required qualification requirements.	Application BP

In the case of exclusion of the project, in this place the mediating entity gives a proper justification. In the case of exclusion as a result of non-compliance with criterion 4, the expert evaluation by a financial analyst of the mediating entity (the agency CzechInvest) will be used to support this.

	Economic evaluation of applicant – fi agency Czechlnvest)	lled in by mediating entity (the	Number of points	Source of information
1. E	Evaluation of financial and non-financ	cial health (rating)	/4	Financial statements (FS)
	<u> </u>			(- /
	A	3		
	B+			
	В	2		
	B-	1		
	C+	0		
T da ca ca	Commentary: The applicant's rating contains an evaluration of the application or the application or the application or the consecutive concluded accounting perior of the application of the application of the application of the application.	n the basis of its history (last two lods pursuant to tax return) and a way as to reflect the financial		
2. E	Economic and financial evaluation of	feasibility of the project	/6	Financial plan of the project
	Feasible without reservations	6 points		(FPP)
	Feasible with reservations	3 points		
F	Feasible with difficulty	0 points		
th or e	Commentary: The financial evaluation of the feasibility the project and its feasibility, primarily froing from resources (including loans) evaluates whether the costs for the sealistic foundations (generation of opera	om the aspect of the realistic use for financing of the project. It submitted project are based on		
	Evaluation of relations of applicant to subjects	other economically linked	/2	FS Full Application
	Relations of applicant	Points		BP
	Complies without reservations	2		
	Complies with reservations	1		
	Does not comply	0		
T. Co Si lio	Commentary: This is an evaluation of subjects of an ecompanies, subsidiaries and other subsubjects in a group (negative informiquidation, distrainment, debtors) are incidences.	jects) and their links to problem nation of the type bankruptcy,		
вТ	otal points		/12	

Summarised commentary for part B

The evaluator here in great detail justifies its evaluation of the criteria. It will give special attention to cases where it evaluates some of the criteria with an extremely high or low number of points.

С	Benefits and relevance of the project (filled in by PM)		Number of points	Source of information
1.	Number of newly generated jobs For every increase in the number of jobs by 25% above		/4	Registration Application BP
	minimum, the Beneficiary gains 1 point, but a maximum of 4 Commentary: During the implementation of the project, the Beneficiary I generate the designated minimum number of new jobs ac size and the supported activity and to staff them with emperform professional activities (for example, programm managers, managers of programming teams etc)	has a duty to cording to its ployees who		ВР
2.	The project will be implemented in a region with focusse	ed state aid	/2	Registration Application
	yes no Commentary: The aim of prioritising depressed regions is to use the P encourage economic development in those areas which ne			ВР
3.	list of these regions is given in an addendum to this docume Size of enterprise		/4	Registration
G.	small and minor enterprises (pursuant to definition of SME) medium enterprises (pursuant to definition of SME) enterprises with number of employees >= 250 < 500 enterprises with number of employees >= 500 < 1000 enterprises with number of employees >= 1000 Commentary: The data is added together for linked enterprises in a group the procedure used for the designation of the SME); the state	tate as of the	,7	Application Full Application
4.	date of acceptability of the project is decisive for the points of Education structure of new employees Proportion of employees with university education in newly gipbs.		/4	Full Application BP
	More than 50% 20 – 50% Less than 20% Commentary: University education means successful graduation from at lestudy programme.	4 points 2 points 0 points east bachelor		
5.	Impact of the project on the environment		/2	Full Application
	The project has a demonstrably positive impact on the environment (prioritising brownfield over greenfield by project, regeneration of space, cooperation with municipalities on ecologically beneficial projects, utilisation of ecological sources of energy, support for mass transport and other pro-ecological measures). Commentary: Increased support for projects with potentially positive environmental impact is in compliance with European and national priorities. The liquidation of brownfields has an analogous effect.			ВР

6.	Cooperation with ed	ucational or scientific-research ins	titutions	/4	Full Application
	For each form of cooperation planned in the project, the applicant will receive 1 point, but a maximum of 4 points; it automatically gets 1 point if at the moment of <i>submission of the application</i> it is already cooperating with schools (evaluation of retrospective cooperation). In the case of planned cooperation, the applicant must append to the full application a copy/copies of the cooperation contract/contracts with the relevant institutions.				ВР
	Commentary: Possible forms of cooperation (examples), for each of them one point is allocated (it is possible to allocate more points in the case of the multiple performance of any of the criteria): - use (application) of results realised in schools; - allocation of bachelor, diploma, dissertation or other theses (in order to gain points for the performance of this criterion, at least 3 theses for students are required during the implementation of the project)); - employment of students on short-term attachments/for temporary work/part time and full time (in order to gain points for the performance of this criterion, it is necessary to employ at least one student for a minimum period of six months during the implementation of the project); - provision of grant or other financial assistance to educational institution (of at least CZK 10,000);				
7.	- training and other fo	ct proposal correspond with the air	ms of the ICT	/2	Full Application
		ort Services programme?		72	BP
	fully corresponds		2 points		
	corresponds with res		1 point		
	does not correspond	<u> </u>	0 points		
	Commentary: Creation of technical and economic preconditions for development of ICT sector, development of region and increase in its competitiveness, expansion in range of IT products and services, contribution to increased involvement of Czech economy in international trade exchange.				
8.	Costs for improving qualifications of employees over the last two years (% of expended costs out of overall wage costs of the firm – average for two years)			/2	Full Application BP
	SME	Large enterprises	Points		
	more than 0.9%	more than 1.5%	2		
	0.3 – 0.9%	0.6 – 1.5%	1		
	less than 0.3%	less than 0.6%	0		
	legal entity is estable Republic. If there ar	tion also applies to the parent com lished for the purposes of support e more parent companies with the which of them will be used in evaluati	in the Czech same share,		
С	Total points			/24	

Summarised commentary for part C

The evaluator here in great detail justifies its evaluation of the criteria from group C. It will give special attention to cases where it evaluates some of the criteria with an extremely high or low number of points.

D	Experience and professional preconditions of applicant EE)	(filled in by	Number of points	Source of information
1.	Current experience in supported area		/5	Full Application
	has worked in the field which the project focuses on for more than three years, this being the area which is the subject of the solution of the project	4 points		BP
	has worked in the field for a maximum of three years, this being the area which is the subject of the solution of the project	3 points		
	has worked in the field more than three years, but does not have experience with the activity which is the subject of the solution of the project	2 points		
	has worked in the field for a maximum of three years and does not have experience with the activity which is the subject of the solution of the project	1 point		
	does not work in the field which the project focuses on	0 points		
	+1 point: reference from abroad in IT area			
	Commentary: The specified evaluation also applies to the parent composite legal entity is established for the purposes of support in Republic. If there are more parent companies with the applicant will choose which of them will be used in evaluation.	n the Czech same share,		
2.	Business strategy, market knowledge		/4	Full
	The firm shows knowledge of the market, performs research the needs of customers etc.	h, ascertains		Application BP
	Commentary: The applicant documents that it is a firm which has incorp principles of trading and the mechanisms corresponding the be evident that the submitted project logically follows development of the firm hitherto and the development interapplicant. The facts given in the business intention should a general level) information about the business strategy of the for example: how the firm provides trade activities (does it sales network – it trades through representatives – it supplies to one customer), how it gets suggestions for innovations and processes (for example, from own traders, by customers, it performs market research, ascertains client must be evident that the submitted project has supp strategies. For projects with an anticipated level of grant above CZK 1 applicant will describe in detail not only the total entreprened but will also give the principles of further significant compositions, innovation of products and procedures, investment etc.).	ereto. It must on from the entions of the give clear (at the applicant, have its own es exclusively of products contact with needs). It ort in these 2 million, the urial strategy, any activities	ette	

3.	Ensuring innovation processes		/2	Full
	In the past the firm innovated its products, processes or services:			Application
	by own solution or in cooperation with other research sites	2 points		BP
	through transfer of technology (by purchase of solution or purchase of licence)	1 point		
	not at all	0 points		
	Commentary: The specified evaluation also applies to the parent complegal entity is established for the purposes of support in Republic. If there are more parent companies with the applicant will choose which of them will be used in evaluation	in the Czech same share,		
4.	Perspective of the project from the aspect of the applic	ant	/4	Full
	How the project reacts to the current and future needs of evaluator evaluates the need and seriousness of the project future development.			Application BP
	Commentary: In this criterion the evaluator evaluates the summary impresextent to which the project is necessary and beneficial for the			
5.	Methodologies, standards and certifications used		/5	Full
	At the time of the submission of the application, the applicant already has certificate/s (for example ISO 9001 – quality management, ISO 17099 - information safety management, Czech Made, is evaluated pursuant to EFQM - min. Level 2, National Award of Czech Republic for Quality and others) and also applies in practice the methodology/methodologies of project management, implementation etc.	4 points		Application BP
	The applicant uses in practice (document at least one case) the methodology/methodologies; this involves, for example, the methodology of project management, implementation methodology etc.	3 points		
	The applicant already has the specified certificates, but does not use any methodology/methodologies	2 points		
	Neither methodologies nor certificates are used, but they will be introduced in the course of project implementation	1 point		
	Neither methodologies nor certificates are used, and their introduction is not planned	0 points		
	+ 1 point – in the past the applicant gained an award re supported activity or is a member in an expert organisation	elating to the		
	Commentary: The specified evaluation also applies to the parent complegal entity is established for the purposes of support if Republic. If there are more parent companies with the applicant will choose which of them will be used in evaluation	in the Czech same share,		

6.	Does the implementation of the project place demands of further increase in qualifications or the requalification of employees?		/4	Full Application
				BP
	Specific education above and beyond the framework of basic training (further professional education, training beyond the framework of the user level)	4 points		
	basic training associated with the acquired technology (user training), training of new employees	2 points		
	no demands or the applicant did not specify them	0 points		
7.	Company forecast		/4	Full
	A realistic strategy for future development is designated	2 points		Application
	There is a realistically defined SWOT analysis	1 point		BP
	The firm is operating on a market with the perspective for future growth	1 point		
	Commentary: The individual elements are added together.			
D	Total points		/28	

Summarised commentary for part D

The evaluator here in great detail justifies its evaluation of the criteria from group D. It will give special attention to cases where it evaluates some of the criteria with an extremely high or low number of points.

E	Readiness of applicant (filled in by EE)	Number of points	Source of information
1.	Analysis of proposed eligible costs There is an ascertaining of the proportionality of proposed investment assets, construction alterations, wage costs and other eligible costs, i.e., whether they correspond to the needs of the applicant and are directly associated with the implementation of the project. If the applicant has collected a subsidy for personnel costs, the proportionality of the proposed number of new jobs, adequacy of the anticipated wages, anticipated qualifications of new workers etc. is ascertained. Commentary: The evaluator evaluates the intention of the applicant and compares it with the concrete events (construction alterations, purchase of investment assets, costs for their installation etc.), and it evaluates whether the proposed costs in the individual items and in total correspond to the scope of the event. If evaluator has justified doubts as to the need for such an asset for the implementation of the project, he gives this item in the table "other assets not associated with the project".	/3	Full Application BP
	Other assets not associated with the project:		
2.	Technical feasibility of the implementation of the project, continuity of individual stages and their ensuring in the course of the project and subsequent operation. Commentary: From the text of the project intention it must be evident that consideration has been given to all the aspects of the project and their connections, the feasibility of the individual stages of the project solution, their implementation at the planned times and the securing of the long-term sustainability of the project.	/3	Full Application BP
3,	Results of the project in relation to market Whether the applicant has a clear idea of the viability and applicability of the results of the project on the market. Commentary: The evaluator evaluates how the applicant intends to integrate the supported capacity into the corporate processes of the entire firm in order to improve its market position etc. and evaluates how the specified ideas of the applicant are realistic or probable, and at the same time it also takes into account realistic nature of the market research performed by the applicant with the qualitatively changed product parameters.	/4	Full Application BP

4.	Summarised evaluation of readiness of applicant for implementation	/5	Registration Application
	Commentary: The evaluator will give a summarised evaluation of the financial, personnel and technical readiness of the applicant for the implementation and future ensuring of supported activities. In this he will evaluate all the aspects and their linkages, the experience of the applicant and the seriousness and reliability of the promises it gives. For projects with an anticipated grant level above CZK 12 million, the applicant also identifies the critical places for implementation and states how it will avoid the inception of critical states. The evaluator will evaluate to what extent the identification of critical places of the project and the methods proposed for avoiding crises correspond to reality.		Full Application BP
Е	Total points	/15	

Summarised commentary for part E

The evaluator here in great detail justifies its evaluation of the criteria from group E. It will give special attention to cases where it evaluates some of the criteria with an extremely high or low number of points.

F	Technical solution of the project and its sustainability (EE)	filled in by	'	Number of points	Source of information
1.	Evaluation of technical level of SW tools and solutions u	sed		/5	Full Application
	Commentary: There is an evaluation of the technological maturity of the proposed solutions of the project: operational system, database system, use of systems increasing the productivity of programming, modularity of system, standard of documentation system etc.				BP
2.	How does the project react to the situation on the market	t?		/4	Full Application
	Launch of new product which leads to an opening of new markets.	4 points			ВР
	Expansion of offer portfolio or qualitative improvement of products which expands the existing market segment.	3 points			
	Expansion of offer portfolio or qualitative improvement of products which service the existing market segment.	2 points			
	Mere passive reaction to the situation on the market (maintenance of market share, replacement of outdated products, reduction in production costs etc.), the project will serve the existing market segment.	1 point			
	There is no relationship or it is not given.	0 points			
3.	Economic benefit of the project for the applicant			/2	Full Application
0.	The applicant declares the economic benefit of the project fo can quantify and logically justify it.	r the firm a	nd	,2	ВР
	The project will have an average or significant economic benefit	2 points			
	The project will have an insignificant or no economic benefit	0 points			
	Commentary: The evaluator evaluates the significance of the economic in project on the future development of the firm.	mpacts of t	the		
4.	Relation of the project to activities of cooperating subject	ets		/2	Full Application
	The project has a measurable relationship with activities of cooperating subjects (customers/suppliers), the results of the project fall within the linked supply or value chain	2 points			BP
	The project is not a part of another greater project or is not linked with other projects of cooperating subjects	0 points			
	Commentary: The applicant will specify to what extent the submerorresponds with its other projects or activities of cooperainstitutions. For cooperation at an international level or the inworkplaces in clustered subjects within the framework of the applicant automatically gains one point.	ating firms nvolvement	or of		
5.	Overall evaluation of future benefit of the project			/8	Full Application
	Commentary: The evaluator gives a summary evaluation of the benefit of t significance for the given regions and for the develop information and knowledge economy. One part of the eva the framework of this criterion will also be the quality of product (information system, web application etc.), evaluechnical version, user friendliness, scope of functionalities e	ment of t luation with the resulta luation of	the hin ant		BP

F	Total points	/21	

Summarised commentary for part F

The evaluator here in great detail justifies its evaluation of the criteria from group F. It will give special attention to cases where it evaluates some of the criteria with an extremely high or low number of points

B Economic evaluation of Applicant	/12			
C Benefits and relevance of the project	/24			
D Experience and professional pre-conditions of application	/28			
E Readiness of applicant	/15			
F Technical solution of the project and its sustainability	/21			
Sum of points for parts B to F	/100			
Bonus for projects based on the integrated plan for urban development (in connection with ruling of the Government of the Czech Republic No 883 from the date 13 August 2007) – only if the project obtains the minimum number of points needed to fulfil the criteria B to F	+10%			
TOTAL	/100			
TOTAL	/100			
The evaluator gives a summarised evaluation of the submitted project in the form:				
I recommend for acceptance – I do not recommend for acceptance – I recommend (specify)	d with reservation			
Reservation:				
Summarised commentary on project				
Here the evaluator gives the most significant aspects of his evaluation or adds any other relevant observations and opinions for which it did not find support in the evaluation table. It performs an abstract of the evaluation. The input data for it are the summarised evaluations of the individual parts. There is a mandatory minimum scope for the commentary of 200 words.				
In Signature:				
Date:				

Addendum to criterion C2

Regions with focussed state support (Prioritisation in evaluation)

Regions with focussed state support for the period 2007 – 2013 pursuant to Resolution No 560/2006)	structurally depressed regions – districts	Most, Karviná, Chomutov, Teplice, Ostrava-city, Frýdek-Místek, Nový Jičín, Sokolov
	economically weak regions – districts	Hodonín, Znojmo, Třebíč, Bruntál, Opava, Jeseník, Přerov, Šumperk, Svitavy, Louny, territories of former military areas Ralsko and Mladá
	regions with high above-average unemployment	districts Děčín, Ústí nad Labem, Litoměřice municipalities with expanded competence Ostrov, Frýdlant, Králíky, Bystřice nad Pernštejnem, Bučovice, Mikulov, Šternberk, Uničov, Kroměříž, Rožnov pod Radhoštěm, Valašské Klobouky
Transitionally support weak regions up to (pursuant to Resolution	the year 2008	Český Krumlov, Tachov, Rakovník, Vyškov

Available on:

http://www.mmr.cz/index.php?show=001024004002&lang=1